## UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND EXTENSION

## **Program Assessment Worksheet**

February 2022

University of Maryland Extension Signature Program Assessment Worksheet				
CATEGORY	Signature	Comments		
	Needs Assessment:			
Fit with UME Mission	Represents a priority of UME based on identified public issues and/or needs of the people of the state.	Meets Criteria: Yes Marginal No		
(Program Design)	Provides sufficient evidence of impact to justify commitment of resources to conduct program.			
	Defines the distinctiveness of UME from other organizations in addressing the public issue and/or particular need of the people of the state.			
	Included in multiple IEPs across multiple disciplines.			
	Adequate funding and other resources from UME and others to have an impact on the issue or need through a program that is known outside of UME among public decision-makers and the people of the state.			
CATEGORY				
Meets Critical	Educational Intervention:			
Clientele Needs (Program Development)	Exchange of information leads to documented change in an individual's knowledge, attitude, skills, and aspirations (KASA).	Meets Criteria: Yes Marginal No		
	Exchange of information is used to aid in the solution of a public issue or need of individuals, families, and communities.			
	Information and methods of teaching/learning are research and theory-based.			
	Contact time with client is of a medium-to-long duration and uses multiple methods of contact, including face-to-face and different types of media.			
	Involves key partners and stakeholders.			

CATEGORY	Signature	Comments			
	Curriculum				
	Program curriculum developed using the UME Curricula Assessment Tool (CAT) review guidelines.	Meets Criteria: Yes Marginal No			
	<ul> <li>Program curriculum adapted from another state has been peer reviewed using the UME Extension CAT and, when appropriate, MAT, and modified to meet Maryland needs.</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Curriculum has been both internally and externally peer- reviewed.</li> </ul>				
	Curriculum has been published with a UME signature-program endorsement.				
	Curriculum is available to other states to use and adapt.				
Research & Scholarship	Research Base:				
(Program Development &	Theory and research-based information are used to explain impact measures and outcomes.	Meets Criteria: Yes Marginal No			
Delivery)	Provides information that can be used to build additional intervention strategies and research questions.				

CATEGORY	Signature	Comments
	Program Scholarly Outputs:	
	Program scholarship findings cited in CV and annual faculty reports for merit reviews.	Meets Criteria: Yes Marginal No
	Program scholarship findings used in promotion and tenure packages for decisions about Senior or Principal Agent advancement and for merit reviews.	
	Program results presentations at professional association meetings, workshops, panels, and other types of delivery methods both refereed and non-refereed.	
	Invited presentations and articles about program results.	
	Contributions to eXtension Communities of Practice (COP).	
	Refereed articles in subject-based journals.	
	UME peer-reviewed Extension Briefs, Factsheets, Bulletins, Manuals, and Curricula.	
Program Evaluation	Evaluation Use:	Γ
	Data collected and evaluated to determine medium-term outcomes achieved that benefit clientele and/or the community.	Meets Criteria: Yes Marginal No
	Evaluation results used to communicate UME's value in addressing societal, economic, and environmental needs.	
	Evaluation results used to communicate the effectiveness of Educator's work to meet clientele needs in Maryland.	

	Evaluation Methods:			
	Logic model is fully developed.	Meets Criteria: Yes Marginal No		
	End-of-session instruments used for program improvement.			
	Paired or unmatched pretests and posttests for assessment of KASA changes.			
	<ul> <li>Qualitative methods incorporated where appropriate (structured observations, interviews).</li> </ul>			
Follow-up survey research used to assess medium- term outcomes.				
	Control and comparison groups used where appropriate.			
	Findings are used to improve programs.			
	□ Findings are peer reviewed and published when appropriate.			
	□ IRB approved.			
Adoption & Replication (Program	Recognized by respected agencies and organizations as an effective program.	Meets Criteria: Yes Marginal No		
Dissemination)	Adopted by other organizations or Extension services.			
Marketing & Communication (Program Dissemination)	Formal marketing plan in place and evaluated for effectiveness.	Meets Criteria: Yes Marginal No		
1				

Public Value (Program Dissemination)	Program's value is evident to individuals, families, and the community-at-large.	Meets Criteria:	_Yes	_ Marginal	_ No
Sustainability (Organizational Commitment)	Medium-term resources committed to supporting the program from the UME budget pending evidence of potential for impact. External funders may be involved in on-going support of the program. Partners involved in program when appropriate.				

## **Definitions of Terms**

Many terms in this tool could be interpreted in multiple ways. For purposes of use of the PAT, we've provided a short list of terms and our definitions.

Curriculum	<b>n</b> A specific learning program with targeted learners, goals and objectives, learning activities and materials.	
Educational Intervention	Intervention The programming done by Extension salaried and volunteer faculty and staff.	
Evaluation Methods	The evaluation strategies that will be used to determine program outcomes.	
Evaluation Use	What type of data will be collected and how it will be used.	
Needs Assessment	"A systematic way for identifying education and training problems, needs, issues, and the like" (Caffarella, 2002, p. 123).	
Programs:		
Informational	A UME-branded program that delivers research-based information.	
Developing	A UME-branded program in early stages of demonstrating its public value.	
Signature	A UME-branded, research-based program known for its demonstrated public value.	
Evidence-Based	A UME branded program that can be replicated with similar outcomes based on scientific measure of effect and judged by external reviews to meet standardized assessments.	
Program Scholarly Outputs	Products that document the educational intervention including theory, findings, and effectiveness measures. Refereed reviews are the gold standard of judgment of quality of educational interventions.	
Research Base	The science of the curriculum content, delivery, and evaluation.	

For further information, contact Teresa McCoy, Assistant Director, Evaluation and Assessment, University of Maryland Extension, 1208 Symons Hall, College Park, MD, 20742, 301-405-4153, <u>tmccoy1@umd.edu</u>.

## **References:**

Boyle, P. (1981). Planning better programs. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Caffarella, R. S. (2002). Planning programs for adult learners. San Francisco: Jossey Bass.

Cornell Office for Research on Evaluation. (2012). *The guide to the systems evaluation protocol*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell Digital Print Services. Available from https://core.human.cornell.edu/research/systems/protocol/index.cfm